UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                              | FILING DATE                           | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/529,946                                   | 10/04/2005                            | Akiko Itai           | . P27674            | 5544             |
|  | 7590 03/05/2007<br>& BERNSTEIN, P.L.C |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE<br>RESTON, VA 20191 |                                       | •                    | HAVLIN, ROBERT H    |                  |
|  |                                       |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |                                       |                      | 1609                |                  |
|  |                                       |                      |                     |                  |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR                           | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE                  | NOTIFICATION DATE    | DELIVERY MODE       |                  |
| 31 D   | AYS                                   | 03/05/2007           | ELECTRONIC          |                  |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 31 DAYS from 03/05/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
|---|--|--|
|   | 10/529,946   | ITAI ET AL.  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |
|   | Robert Havlin  | 1609   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the o   | correspondence address   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire  ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (C) (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status  |  |  |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Oct</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the practice o | action is non-final.<br>ce except for formal matters, pro  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.</li> </ul>   |  |  |
| Application Papers  | •  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of the         | pted or b) objected to by the lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                         |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a a b b Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of   | have been received. have been received in Applicative documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | ion No ed in this National Stage   |
|   |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:  | ate  |

Art Unit: 1609

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-20 are pending in the instant application.

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-20, drawn to a product of the formula:

double bond.

, wherein the dashed line represents either a single or

Group II, claims 1-20, in part, drawn to a product claimed but not encompassed in Group I above.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Gao (US 6,429,311 B2) teaches in claim 1 the compound with a core formula

of N R<sup>2</sup>. Formula I of the instant application is the common feature linking all of the claims which is identical to that taught in Gao, consequently it is not a contribution over the prior art.

Therefore, the technical feature linking the claims does not constitute a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2. Accordingly, the claims lack unity of invention, and restriction is proper.

It is noted that the compounds of Groups I and II above as claimed with indefinite language such as "R ... may be substituted" do not constitute proper Markush groups. Although examples of such substitutions and substitutions upon substitutions are given in the specification in an attempt to define the language, such language does not allow for a definition of a *substantial* structural feature. Applicants are required to elect a single disclosed compound and invited to define a substantial core with definite terminology to describe a genus of compounds consistent with their invention and which are obvious variants. Further restriction may be required.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1609

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Havlin whose telephone number is (571) 272-9066. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri., 7:30am-5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached at (571)-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert Havlin

Examiner

RH

Cecilia J. Tsang
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600